

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,447	ANANTHARAMIAH ET AL.
	Examiner DANIEL KOLKER	Art Unit 1649
All Participants: (1) <u>Daniel Kolker (USPTO)</u> . (2) <u>Scott Marty (attorney for applicant)</u> .	Status of Application: <u>Allowable after examiner's amendment</u>	
Date of Interview: <u>17 February 2009</u>	Time: <u>10:00AM</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>None</i>		
Claims discussed: 1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant's representative agreed to change a word in claim 1. Changes detailed in attached office action.</i>		
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<p>/Daniel E. Kolker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649 February 17, 2009</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</p>		